

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIDNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DO	OCKET NO
07/946.39	2 09/17/92	FALK	L	645_0176

HODGSON RUSS ANDREWS WOODS & GOODYEAR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP 1800 ONE M & T PLAZA BUFFALO, NY 14203-2391

NIL EXAMINER			
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	1 Ar Ch HOMBEN		

DATE MAILED:

02/15/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) X is extended to run 6 MO. or continues to run from the dete of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or es of the meiling date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing e petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response end the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or es set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Bnef is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed hes been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to plece the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They reise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The new limitation in claim I that the pole portion is merely enclosed within a corrosion seriation, material
enclosed within a conosion resistant material raises.
2. Newly proposed or amended claims 3-6, 10, 11, 17, 34-39 would be allowed if submitted in a separetely filed amendment cancelling the non-ellowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed emendment uill be entered will not be entered and the status of the daims will be as follows:
Claims allowed: 23-29, 31-33 Claims objected to: 2-6, 9-12, 16-19 Claims rejected: 1, 7, 8, 13-15, 20, 21
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
Typical to responde has everence the following rejection(s).
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reesons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
Other ROBERT G. NILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 347